

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/904,105	07/13/2001	Toshiki Tachikawa	107292-00023	1324
4372	7590 03/03/2005		EXAM	INER
	OX KINTNER PLOTK	NGUYEN, LAM S		
1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400 WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2853	
			DATE MAILED: 02/02/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	09/904,105	TACHIKAWA ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
	LAM S. NGUYEN	2853			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 17 February 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
. Mathematical The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:					
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.  Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on	). which the petition under 37 CFR 1 136(a	) and the appropriate extension fee have			
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. Satutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension fee under 37 final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b)			
2. The reply was filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing an appeal brief. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of					
Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the	time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.	37(a).			
AMENDMENTS  3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because					
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); (c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for					
appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		•			
4. $\square$ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		ompliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		timely filed amendment concoling			
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	e, timely filed amendment canceling			
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.					
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:					
Claim(s) anowed: Claim(s) objected to:					
Claim(s) rejected:					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:  AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	ut before or on the date of filing a l nd sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered with or other evidence is necessary			
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered by	ut does NOT place the application	in condition for allowance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)			
13.  Other:	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	<b>N</b>			
LN,		Stephen D. Meier Primary Examiner			

LN 03/01/2005

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 1 and 6 are amended to raise new issues that require further consideration and/or search.